

**A-4 STEP CHEBYSHEV BASED MULTIDERIVATIVE DIRECT SOLVER
FOR THIRD ORDER ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS**Ogunlaran, O.M¹¹Mathematics Programme, College of Agriculture, Engineering and Science, Bowen University,
Iwo, Osun State, Nigeria.Kehinde, M.A²²Department of Mathematics, Federal College of Education (Special), Oyo, Nigeria.**ABSTRACT**

This paper develops and examines a uniform order, 4 step block method with Chebyshev series as bases function. Collocation and interpolation technique was used to modeled implicit discrete schemes from continuous scheme to derive our block. The block method obtained was of order 4. It is consistent, zero stable and consequently zero stable. The results obtained from four test problems shown that the method converges to exact solutions and perform better than some existing methods in the literatures.

Keywords: Multiderivative, Chebyshev series, Continuous Scheme, Direct solver.

INTRODUCTION

A problem of the form:

$$y'''=fx,y,y',y'', y_a=\alpha, y'_a=\beta, y''_a=\gamma \quad (1.1)$$

For a continuous differentiable function f is called third order initial value problems of ordinary differential equations. Problem (1.1) is conventionally solved by reducing it to an equivalent system of first order ordinary differential equations in four equations comprising of four dependent variables y_1, y_2 and y_3 in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} y_1' &= f_1(x, y_1, y_2, y_3), & y_1|_a &= 1 \\ y_2' &= f_2(x, y_1, y_2, y_3), & y_2|_a &= 2 \\ y_3' &= f_3(x, y_1, y_2, y_3), & y_3|_a &= 3 \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

Method of reducing problem of kind (1.1) to the form (1.2) is extensively discussed by Awoyemi (1992), Jennings (1987), and Jator (2001, 2007) among other many authors. There are major

drawbacks associated with method that solve equivalent system of first order ordinary differential equations (1.2) such as the problem of writing computer subroutine - sub program within the main program to get starting values, extra computational effort, more computer time and storage wastage. To circumvent the aforementioned problems, several researchers have developed several methods for direct solution of higher order ordinary differential equations. Some of these authors have adopted different polynomials including Legendre Polynomial (Ademola ,2017) Power series (Famulua et-al, 2017), Lucas Polynomia(Adeniran and Longe, 2019), Taylor series (Adoghe et-al, 2016), and Chebyshev (Kayode et-al , 2018) into developing various block methods for solving problem (1.1) directly. They developed high order method to handle high order ordinary differential equations.

An Implicit Hybrid Block Methods for solving fourth order Ordinary differentials Equations was developed by Kayode, Duromola and Bolarinwa (2014). Adeniran and Longe (2019), Guler et al (2019), Ramos et al (2020) and Singla et al (2021) have developed direct methods for solving second order initial value problems. Adeniran and Zurni (2019) developed a three- step implicit hybrid solver for third order initial value problems. This paper is developed and examines a block method for the solution of fourth order initial value problems using the Chebyshev polynomial as basis function.

FORMULATION OF THE METHOD

In this paper, we developed a 4- step block method through interpolation and collocation approach. The Chebyshev polynomial is used as basis function. In order to solve equation (1.1), we employ the approximate solution.

$$y(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{t+s-1} a_r T_r(x) \quad (2.1)$$

where t and s are number of interpolation and collocation respectively on the partition $a=x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k = b$ of the integration interval a,b .

$T_r(x)$ is the nth degree Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind defined as

$$T_r(x) = \cos \left[r \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{2x - (b + a)}{b - a} \right) \right] \tag{2.2}$$

The recurrence relation for the Chebyshev series is given as follows:

$$T_{r+1}(x) = 2xT_r(x) - T_{r-1}(x), \quad r \geq 1 \tag{2.3}$$

where $T_0(x)=1$ and $T_1(x)=x$.

To interpolate at $x=x_n+i$, $i=0,1,3$ and collocate at $x=x_n+i$, $i=0,1,4$, equation (2.1) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} y(x) = & a_0 + a_1 \left[\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right] + a_2 \left[2 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^2 - 1 \right] + a_3 \left[4 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^3 - 3 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right) \right] + a_4 \left[8 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^4 - \right. \\ & \left. 8 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^2 + 1 \right] + a_5 \left[16 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^5 - 20 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^3 + 5 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right) \right] + a_6 \left[32 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^6 - 48 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^4 + \right. \\ & \left. 18 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^2 - 1 \right] + a_7 \left[64 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^7 - 112 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^5 + 56 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^3 - \right. \\ & \left. 7 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

First, second and third derivatives of (2.4) are obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 4h^2 y''(x) = & a_2 [4] + a_3 \left[24 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right) \right] + a_4 \left[96 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^2 - 16 \right] + a_5 \left[320 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^3 - \right. \\ & \left. 120 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right) \right] + a_6 \left[960 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^4 - 576 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^2 + 36 \right] + a_7 \left[2688 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^5 - \right. \\ & \left. 2240 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^3 + 336 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2hy'(x) = & a_1 + a_2 \left[4 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right) \right] + a_3 \left[12 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^2 - 3 \right] + a_4 \left[32 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^3 - \right. \\ & \left. 16 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right) \right] + a_5 \left[80 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^4 - 60 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^2 + 5 \right] + a_6 \left[192 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^5 - \right. \\ & \left. 192 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^3 + 36 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right) \right] + a_7 \left[448 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^6 - 560 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^4 + \right. \\ & \left. 168 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^2 - 7 \right] \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

$$8h^3 \underline{y}'''(x) = \underline{a}_3[24] + \underline{a}_4 \left[192 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right) \right] + \underline{a}_5 \left[960 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^2 - 120 \right] + \underline{a}_6 \left[3840 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^3 - 1152 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right) \right] + \underline{a}_7 \left[13440 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^4 - 6720 \left(\frac{x-kh-2h}{2h} \right)^2 + 336 \right] \tag{2.7}$$

Interpolating (2.4) at $x=x_n, x_{n+1}$ and x_{n+3} and collocating (2.7) at $x=x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}$ and x_{n+4} lead to the matrix equation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -1 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & -24 & 105 & -336 & 882 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & -12 & 15 & 12 & -63 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & -15 & 0 & 42 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 12 & 15 & -12 & -63 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 24 & 105 & 336 & 882 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{a}_0 \\ \underline{a}_1 \\ \underline{a}_2 \\ \underline{a}_3 \\ \underline{a}_4 \\ \underline{a}_5 \\ \underline{a}_6 \\ \underline{a}_7 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{y}_n \\ \underline{y}_{n+1} \\ \underline{y}_{n+3} \\ h^3 \underline{f}_n \\ h^3 \underline{f}_{n+1} \\ h^3 \underline{f}_{n+2} \\ h^3 \underline{f}_{n+3} \\ h^3 \underline{f}_{n+4} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.8}$$

Solving (2.8) in the unknown parameters $a_i, i=0:7$ leads to:

$$\underline{a}_0 = \frac{23}{7560} h^3 \underline{f}_n + \frac{559}{7560} h^3 \underline{f}_{n+1} + \frac{89}{504} h^3 \underline{f}_{n+2} + \frac{601}{7560} h^3 \underline{f}_{n+3} + \frac{1}{3780} h^3 \underline{f}_{n+4} + \frac{1}{3} \underline{y}_n + \frac{2}{3} \underline{y}_{n+3},$$

$$\underline{a}_1 = \frac{1}{720} h^3 \underline{f}_n + \frac{13}{90} h^3 \underline{f}_{n+1} + \frac{3}{8} h^3 \underline{f}_{n+2} + \frac{13}{90} h^3 \underline{f}_{n+3} + \frac{1}{720} h^3 \underline{f}_{n+4} + \underline{y}_{n+3} - \underline{y}_{n+1},$$

$$\underline{a}_2 = \frac{13}{3024} h^3 \underline{f}_n + \frac{733}{3780} h^3 \underline{f}_{n+1} + \frac{221}{630} h^3 \underline{f}_{n+2} + \frac{439}{3780} h^3 \underline{f}_{n+3} + \frac{23}{15120} h^3 \underline{f}_{n+4} + \frac{2}{3} \underline{y}_n - \underline{y}_{n+1} + \frac{1}{3} \underline{y}_{n+3},$$

$$a_3 = \frac{7}{40} h^3 f_{n+2} + \frac{7}{90} h^3 f_{n+1} + \frac{7}{90} h^3 f_{n+3} + \frac{1}{720} h^3 f_n + \frac{1}{720} h^3 f_{n+4},$$

$$a_4 = -\frac{7}{180} h^3 f_{n+1} + \frac{7}{180} h^3 f_{n+3} - \frac{1}{720} h^3 f_n + \frac{1}{720} h^3 f_{n+4},$$

$$a_5 = \frac{1}{90} h^3 f_{n+1} - \frac{1}{40} h^3 f_{n+2} + \frac{1}{90} h^3 f_{n+3} + \frac{1}{720} h^3 f_n + \frac{1}{720} h^3 f_{n+4},$$

$$a_6 = -\frac{1}{720} h^3 f_n + \frac{1}{360} h^3 f_{n+1} - \frac{1}{360} h^3 f_{n+3} + \frac{1}{720} h^3 f_{n+4},$$

and

$$a_7 = \frac{1}{2520} h^3 f_n - \frac{1}{630} h^3 f_{n+1} + \frac{1}{420} h^3 f_{n+2} - \frac{1}{630} h^3 f_{n+3} + \frac{1}{2520} h^3 f_{n+4} \quad (2.9)$$

Substituting (2.9) into equations (2.4) to (2.6) and evaluating the resulting continuous scheme for (2.4) at $x=x_{n+2}$ and $x=x_{n+4}$ and other continuous schemes at $x=x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}, x_{n+4}$ respectively lead to:

$$\underline{y}_{n+3} + 3\underline{y}_{n+2} - 3\underline{y}_{n+1} + \underline{y}_n = \frac{h^3}{5040} (-19\underline{f}_n - 2444\underline{f}_{n+1} - 2634\underline{f}_{n+2} + 76\underline{f}_{n+3} - 19\underline{f}_{n+4}) \quad (2.10)$$

$$\underline{y}_{n+4} - 2\underline{y}_{n+3} + 2\underline{y}_{n+1} - \underline{y}_n = \frac{h^3}{2520} (23\underline{f}_n + 1168\underline{f}_{n+1} + 2658\underline{f}_{n+2} + 1168\underline{f}_{n+3} + 23\underline{f}_{n+4}) \quad (2.11)$$

$$\underline{y}_{n+3} - 9\underline{y}_{n+1} + 8\underline{y}_n + 6h\underline{y}'_n = \frac{h^3}{5040} (1861\underline{f}_n + 11960\underline{f}_{n+1} + 582\underline{f}_{n+2} + 872\underline{f}_{n+3} - 155\underline{f}_{n+4}) \quad (2.12)$$

$$-\underline{y}_{n+3} - 3\underline{y}_{n+1} + 4\underline{y}_n + 6h\underline{y}'_{n+1} = \frac{h^3}{1260} (-64\underline{f}_n - 1760\underline{f}_{n+1} - 699\underline{f}_{n+2} + 4\underline{f}_{n+3} - \underline{f}_{n+4}) \quad (2.13)$$

$$-\underline{y}_{n+3} + \underline{y}_{n+1} + 2h\underline{y}'_{n+2} = \frac{h^3}{720} (\underline{f}_n - 16\underline{f}_{n+1} - 210\underline{f}_{n+2} - 16\underline{f}_{n+3} + \underline{f}_{n+4}) \quad (2.14)$$

$$-5y_{n+3} + 9y_{n+1} - 4y_n + 6hy'_{n+3} = \frac{h^3}{1260} (43f_n + 2348f_{n+1} + 4605f_{n+2} + 584f_{n+3} - 20f_{n+4}) \quad (2.15)$$

$$-7y_{n+3} + 15y_{n+1} - 8y_n + 6hy'_{n+4} = \frac{h^3}{5040} (197f_n + 1962f_{n+1} + 43014f_{n+2} + 30712f_{n+3} + 2213f_{n+4}) \quad (2.16)$$

$$-4y_{n+3} + 12y_{n+1} - 8y_n + 12h^2y''_n = \frac{h^3}{630} (-2456f_n - 7585f_{n+1} + 636f_{n+2} - 323f_{n+3} + 148f_{n+4}) \quad (2.17)$$

$$-4y_{n+3} + 12y_{n+1} - 8y_n + 12h^2y''_{n+1} = \frac{h^3}{1260} (359f_n - 1604f_{n+1} - 4272f_{n+2} + 580f_{n+3} - 103f_{n+4}) \quad (2.18)$$

$$-4y_{n+3} + 12y_{n+1} - 8y_n + 12h^2y''_{n+2} = \frac{h^3}{630} (-20f_n + 283f_{n+1} + 2652f_{n+2} - 487f_{n+3} + 64f_{n+4}) \quad (2.19)$$

$$-4y_{n+3} + 12y_{n+1} - 8y_n + 12h^2y''_{n+3} = \frac{h^3}{1260} (191f_n + 4108f_{n+1} + 14880f_{n+2} + 6292f_{n+3} - 271f_{n+4}) \quad (2.20)$$

$$-4y_{n+3} + 12y_{n+1} - 8y_n + 12h^2y''_{n+4} = \frac{h^3}{630} (-104f_n + 3167f_{n+1} + 4668f_{n+2} + 9929f_{n+3} + 2500f_{n+4}) \quad (2.21)$$

Equations (2.10) – (2.21) combined constitute our block method.

ANALYSIS OF THE METHOD

Basic properties of the block method are considered, defined and analyzed to establish the efficiency and reliability of the method. The following properties are analyzed: Order, error constant, consistence and Zero stability. Establishing the last two properties guarantee the convergence of the method.

3.1 Local Truncation Error

According to Fatunla (1988) and Lambert (1973), the local truncation error associated with the third order linear multistep method:

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i y_{n+i} = h^3 \sum_{i=0}^k \beta_i f_{n+i} \tag{3.1}$$

is defined by the difference operator

$$L[y(x); h] = \sum_{i=0}^k [\alpha_i y(x_n + ih) - h^3 \beta_i f(x_n + ih)], \tag{3.2}$$

where $y(x)$ is an arbitrary function, continuously differentiable on $[a, b]$.

Expanding (3.2) in Taylor series about point x leads to the expression:

$$L[y(x); h] = c_0 y(x) + c_1 h y'(x) + c_2 h^2 y''(x) + \dots + c_p h^p y^{(p)}(x) + \dots c_{p+3} h^{p+3} y^{(p+3)}(x) \tag{3.3}$$

where $c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p, c_{p+2}$ are obtained as follow:

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i \\ c_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^k i \alpha_i \\ c_2 &= \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{i=1}^k i^2 \alpha_i \\ &\vdots \\ c_q &= \frac{1}{q!} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k i^q \alpha_i - q(q-1)(q-2) \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i i^{q-3} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, method (3.1) is of order P if

$$c_0 = c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_p = c_{p+1} = c_{p+2} = 0 \text{ and } c_{p+3} \neq 0.$$

The constant c_{p+3} is called the error constant and $c_{p+3} h^{p+3} y^{(p+3)}(x)$ is the principal local truncation error at x_n .

Using the above definition, the block method (2.10 - 2.21) is of order $P=4$ and error

$$\text{constant} = \left[\frac{1}{2520}, \frac{1}{1260}, \frac{1}{2520}, \frac{1}{2520}, \frac{1}{2520}, \frac{1}{504}, \frac{1}{360}, \frac{1}{630}, \frac{1}{630}, \frac{1}{630}, \frac{1}{630} \right]^T.$$

3.2 Consistency

The linear multistep method (3.1) is said to be consistent if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) The order $P \geq 1$
- (ii) $\sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i = 0$
- (iii) $\rho(1) = \rho'(1) = 0$ and
- (iv) $|\underline{\rho}'''(1) = 3! \underline{\sigma}(1)|$

Where r and r are the first and second characteristic polynomials. Condition (i) is sufficient for the associated block method to be consistent. (Jator, 2007).

The main method (2.10) satisfies condition (i)- (iv) above. Therefore, the method is consistent.

3.3 Zero Stability

To analyze the method for zero stability, we write equations (2.10) - (2.21) in the matrix equation:

$$\alpha = 3,$$

$$Y_m = (y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, y_{n+4}, y'_{n+1}, y'_{n+2}, y'_{n+3}, y'_{n+4}, y''_{n+1}, y''_{n+2}, y''_{n+3}, y''_{n+4})^T,$$

$$Y_{m-1} = (y_{n-3}, y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}, y_n, y'_{n-3}, y'_{n-2}, y'_{n-1}, y'_n, y''_{n-3}, y''_{n-2}, y''_{n-1}, y''_n)^T$$

$$F_m = (f_{n+1}, f_{n+2}, f_{n+3}, f_{n+4})^T.$$

$$\underline{AY}_m = \underline{BY}_{m-1} + h^\alpha [\underline{Cf}_n + \underline{DF}_m], \tag{3.4}$$

Where

$$\begin{pmatrix} -3 & 3 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -9 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 6h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 9 & 0 & -5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 15 & 0 & -7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 12 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 12 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12h^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 12 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12h^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 12 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12h^2 & 0 \\ 12 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12h^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{n+1} \\ y_{n+2} \\ y_{n+3} \\ y_{n+4} \\ y'_{n+1} \\ y'_{n+2} \\ y'_{n+3} \\ y'_{n+4} \\ y''_{n+1} \\ y''_{n+2} \\ y''_{n+3} \\ y''_{n+4} \end{pmatrix}$$

Consequently;

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12h^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{n-3} \\ y_{n-2} \\ y_{n-1} \\ y_n \\ y'_{n-3} \\ y'_{n-2} \\ y'_{n-1} \\ y''_{n-3} \\ y''_{n-2} \\ y''_{n-1} \\ y''_n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -19 \\ 5040 \\ 23 \\ 2520 \\ 1861 \\ 5040 \\ -64 \\ 1260 \\ 1 \\ 720 \\ 43 \\ 1260 \\ 197 \\ 5040 \\ -2456 \\ 630 \\ 359 \\ 1260 \\ -20 \\ 630 \\ 191 \\ 1260 \\ -104 \\ 630 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -2444 & -2634 & 76 & -19 \\ 5040 & 5040 & 5040 & 5040 \\ 1168 & 2658 & 1168 & 23 \\ 2520 & 2520 & 2520 & 2520 \\ 11960 & 582 & 872 & -155 \\ 5040 & 5040 & 5040 & 5040 \\ -1760 & -699 & 4 & -1 \\ 1260 & 1260 & 1260 & 1260 \\ -16 & -210 & -16 & 1 \\ 720 & 720 & 720 & 720 \\ 2348 & 4605 & 584 & -20 \\ 1260 & 1260 & 1260 & 1260 \\ 19624 & 43014 & 30712 & 2213 \\ 5040 & 5040 & 5040 & 5040 \\ -7585 & 636 & -823 & 148 \\ 630 & 630 & 630 & 630 \\ -1604 & -4272 & 580 & -103 \\ 1260 & 1260 & 1260 & 1260 \\ 283 & 2652 & -487 & 64 \\ 630 & 630 & 630 & 630 \\ 4108 & 14880 & 6292 & -271 \\ 1260 & 1260 & 1260 & 1260 \\ 3167 & 4668 & 9929 & 2500 \\ 630 & 630 & 630 & 630 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{n+1} \\ f_{n+2} \\ f_{n+3} \\ f_{n+4} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.5}$$

The normalized version of (3.4) is given by

$$\underline{A^*Y_m} = \underline{B^*Y_{n-1}} + h^3[\underline{C^*f_n} + \underline{D^*F_m}], \tag{3.6}$$

Hence, the zero stability of the method is determined by the expression:

$$\rho(r) = \det(rA^* - B^*) = 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.7}$$

Such that :

$$A^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot I$$

$$B^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

:Solving for r in equation (3.7), $r-r^{11}r-1=0 \Rightarrow r=0,1$. therefore, the method is zero stable.

3.4 Convergence

According to Dahlquist (1994), a method converges if it is consistent and zero stable. Thus, the block method is convergent since it is consistent and zero stable.

NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

To investigate the efficiency of the method, we apply the method to solve two test problems.

Example 4.1

Solve the initial value problem:

$$\underline{y}''' + \underline{y}' = 0 ; \underline{y}(0) = 0, \underline{y}'(0) = 1, \underline{y}''(0) = 2. \quad h = 0.1$$

Analytical Solution is $y(x) = 2(1 - \cos x) + \sin x$.

Source: Anake et-al (2013).

Example 4.2

Solve the initial value problem:

$$\underline{y}''' - \underline{y}'' + \underline{y}' - \underline{y} = 0 ; \underline{y}(0) = 1, \underline{y}'(0) = 0, \underline{y}''(0) = -1. \quad h = 0.01$$

Analytical Solution is $y(x) = \cos x$.

Source: Kuboye et-al (2020).

Example 4.3

Consider the initial value problem:

$$\underline{y}''' - \exp(x) = 0 ; \underline{y}(0) = 3, \underline{y}'(0) = 1, \underline{y}''(0) = 5. \quad h = 0.1$$

Analytical Solution: $y(x) = 2 + 2x^2 + \exp(x)$.

Source: Olabode (2013), Obarhua and Kayode (2016).

Example 4.4

Consider the initial value problem:

$$\underline{y}''' - 3 \cos x = 0 ; \underline{y}(0) = 1, \underline{y}'(0) = 0, \underline{y}''(0) = 2. \quad h = 0.05$$

Analytical Solution: $y(x) = x^2 + 3x - 3 \sin x + 1$

Source: Obarhua and Kayode (2016).

Absolute errors in the solution of Example (4.1) - (4.4) base on our method are respectively presented in Table (4.1) - (4.4) in comparison with existing methods in literature.

Table 4.1. Absolute errors for Example 4.1 with h= 0.1

x	Exact	New methods	Error in new method	Error in Awoyemi Order 6	Error in Olabode Order 8	Error in Anake et-al. Order 4
0.1	0.109825086	0.109825086	6.19E-11	-	1.67E-08	1.61E-09
0.2	0.238536175	0.238536176	3.98E-10	8.85E-07	3.81E-07	1.04E-08
0.3	0.384847228	0.384847229	1.02E-09	-	1.57E-07	2.96E-08
0.4	0.547296354	0.547296356	1.80E-09	6.69E-06	3.99E-06	2.31E-07
0.5	0.724260414	0.724260418	2.73E-09	-	7.96E-06	4.54E-07
0.6	0.913971243	0.913397125	3.82E-09	2.37E-05	1.37E-05	1.47E-06
0.7	1.114533313	1.114533178	5.11E-09	-	2.12E-05	2.87E-06
0.8	1.323942672	1.323942678	6.38E-09	5.52E-05	3.04E-05	4.68E-06
0.9	1.540106973	1.540106981	7.73E-09	-	4,10E-05	6.92E-06
1.0	1.760866373	1.760866382	9.09E-09	-	-	-

Table 4.2. Absolute errors for Example 4.2 with h= 0.01

x	Exact	New method	Error in new method	Error in Badmus & Yaya (2009) Order 5	Error in Adeniyi & Mohammed (2014) Order 5	Error in Ademola (2017) Order 6	Error in Kuboye et-al Order 6
0.01	0.9999500004	0.9999500004	3.5E-19	9.80E-08	6.72E-07	1.25E-09	1.11E-16
0.02	0.9998000067	0.9998000067	2.24E-18	3.95E-07	1.34E-06	6.68E-09	5.55E-16
0.03	0.9995500337	0.9995500337	5.57E-18	8.87E-07	2.02E-06	1.14E-08	8.66E-15

0.04	0.9992001067	0.9992001067	1.03E-17	1.57E-06	2.69E-06	2.04E-08	6.48E14
0.05	0.9987502604	0.9987502604	1.59E-17	2.43E-06	3.36E-06	9.39E-08	2.63E-14
0.06	0.9982005399	0.9982005399	2.31E-17	3.45E-06	-	2.67E-07	-
0.07	0.997551003	0.9975510003	3.19E-17	4.60E-06	-	5.44E-07	-
0.08	0.9968017063	0.9968017063	4.19E-17	5.84E-06	-	1.01E-07	-
0.09	0.995952733	0.995952733	5.29E-17	7.16E-06	-	1.66E-06	-
0.10	0.995004165	0.995004165	6.56E-17	-	-	-	-

Table 4.3. Absolute errors for Example 4.3 with h= 0.1

x	Exact	New methods	Error in new method	Error in Olabode	Error in Obarhua & Kayode
0.1	3.12517092	3.12517092	0.0	9.24E-10	4.66E-11
0.2	3.30140276	3.30140276	0.0	18.40E-10	4.23E-10
0.3	3.52985881	3.52985881	0.0	24.24E-10	1.512E-09
0.4	3.81182470	3.81182470	0.0	53.59E-10	3.74E-09
0.5	4.14872127	4.14872127	0.0	7.00E-10	1.352E-08
0.6	4.54211880	4.54211880	0.0	3.91E-10	1.352E-08
0.7	4.99375271	4.99375271	0.0	6.53E-09	2.22E-08
0.8	5.50554093	5.50554094	1.00E-08	2.15E-08	3.41E-08
0.9	6.07960311	6.07960313	2.00E-08	3.88E-08	5.01E-08
1.0	6.71828183	6.71828185	2.00E-08	6.15E-08	7.09E-08

Table 4.4. Absolute errors for Example 4.4 with $h= 0.05$

x	Exact	New methods	Error in new method	Error in Yahayah and Badmus	Error in Agam and Irhebbhude	Error in Obarhua & Kayode
0.05	1.002562492	1.002562492	3.46E-14	-	-	-
0.10	1.010499750	1.010499750	2.24E-13	1.04E-06	6.40E-08	1.38E-11
0.15	1.024185603	1.024185603	3.82E-13	-	-	-
0.20	1.043992008	1.043992008	1.01E-12	5.06E-06	1.26E-07	1.89E-09
0.25	1.070288122	1.070288122	1.60E-12	-	-	-
0.30	1.103439380	1.103439380	2.64E-12	1.21E-005	1.52E-07	1.71E-08
0.35	1.143806578	1.143806578	3.18E-12	-	-	-
0.40	1.191744973	1.191744973	5.96E-12	2.22E-05	2.13E-07	6.91E-08
0.45	1.247603398	1.247603398	8.06E-12	-	-	-
0.50	1.311723384	1.311723384	1.09E-11	3.53E-05	2.73E-07	1.94E-07

DISCUSSION

The method developed performed well as the absolute errors obtained are small relatively to error obtainable in methods from the literatures. The method developed which is of order 4 performed better than that of Anake (order 4), Awoyemi (order 6) and Olabode (order 8) in the first test problem. While in the test problem 2, the block method performed better than those of Adeniyi and Mohammed (order 5), Badmus & Yahyah (order 6) and Ademola (order 6). In the exponential problem 4.3, the block method also competes favourably with methods in the literatures. The superiority of the new method is clearly seen in computed absolute errors in comparison with the other three methods in the linear test problem 4.2 shown in Table 4.2 and other three methods in trigonometric test problem 4.4 shown in Table 4.4.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have constructed a direct 4 –step multiderivative integrator which is efficient and suitable for solving third order ordinary differential equations. The method has shown acceptable

solution and the method performed better than some existing methods. The method behaves well in the four test problems experimented as clearly shown in Table 4.1 - 4.4.

References:

1. A Wiley-Inter Science Publication.
2. Ademola, M. B. (2017). *A sixth order Multi- derivative block method using Legendre polynomial for the solution of third order ordinary differential equations* (pp. 225–233). Proceeding of Mathemataics Association of Nigeria.
3. Adeniran, A. O., & Longe, I. O. (2019). Solving directly second order initial value problems with Lucas polynomial. *Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science*, 32(4), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jamcs/2019/v32i430152>
4. Adeniyi, R. B., & Mohammed, U. (2014). *A three step implicit hybrid linear MultistepMethod for solution of third order ordinary differential equations*, 25(1) (pp. 62–74). ICSRS Publication.
5. Adeyeye, O., & Zurni, O. (2019). *Solving 3rd Order Ordinary Differential Equations using one-step block method with 4 equidistance generalized hybrid points. IAEng international journal of applied mathematics*.
6. Agam, S. A., & Irhebbhude, M. E. (2011). A modification of the fourth order Runge – Kutta method for third order ordinary differential equations. *Abacus, Journal of the Mathematical Association of Nigerian*, 38, 87–95.
7. Anake, T. A., Odesanya, G. J., Oghonyan, G. J., & Agarana, M. C. (2013). Block algorithm for general third order ordinary differential equation. *ICASTOR Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 7(2), 127–136.
8. Awoyemi, D. O. (1992). *On some continuous linear multistep methods for initial value problems* [Unpublished doctoral dissertation]. University of Ilorin.
9. Awoyemi, D. O. (1999). A class of continuous methods for general second order initial value problem in ordinary differential equations. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, 72(1), 29–37. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207169908804832>
10. Badmus, A. M., & Yaya, Y. A. (2009). Some Muulti-derivative Block Method for solving general Third order ordinary differential equations. *Nigerian Journal of Scientific Research à B.U. Zaria*, 8, 103–108.

11. Dahlquist, G. (2010). *Convergence and the Dahlquist Equivalence Theorem*. Reterived. <http://www.people.maths.ox.ac.uk>

12. Familua, A. B., & Omole, E. O. (2017). Five points mono Hybrid linear multistep method for solving nth order Ordinary Differential Equations using power series function. *Asian Research Journal on Mathematics (Science Domain International)*, 3(1).

13. Fatunla, S. O. (1988). Numerical methods for initial value problems in Ordinary Differential Equations. *Academic Press Inc*. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers.

14. Guler, C., Kaya, S. O., & Sezer, M. (2019). Numerical Solution of a Class of nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equations in Hermite series. *Thermal Science* [International scientific journal], 1205–1210.

15. Jator, S. N. (2001). Improvements in Adams-Moulton methods for the first order initial value problems. *Journal of the Tennessee Academy of Science*, 76(2), 57–60.

16. Jator, S. N. (2007). A sixth order linear multistep method for the direct solution of $y'' = f(x, y, y')$. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 40(4), 457–472.

17. Jennings. (1987). *Matrix computations for engineers and scientists*. John Wiley & Sons.

18. Kayode, S. J., Duromola, M. K., & Bolaji, B. (2014). Direct Solution of Initial Value Problems of Fourth Order Ordinary Differential Equations Using Modified Implicit Hybrid Block method. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*, 3(21), 2792–2800. <https://doi.org/10.9734/JSRR/2014/11953>

19. Kuboye, J. O., Quadri, O. F., & Elusakin. (2020). Solving third order Ordinary Differential Equations directly using hybrid numerical Models. *Journal of Nigerian Society of Physical Science*, 2, 69–76.

20. Lambert, J. D. (1973). Computational method in ordinary differential equation. *John*.

21. Lawrence Osa, A., & Ezekiel Olaoluwa, O. (2019). A fifth- fourth continuous Block Implicit Hybrid method for the solution of third order Initial Value Problems in ordinary Differential Equations. *Applied and Computational Mathematics*, 8(3), 50–57. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.acm.20190803.11>

22. Obarhua, F. O., & Kayode, S. J. (2016). Symmetric hybrid linear multistep method for General Third Order ordinary differential equations. *Open Access Library Journal*, 3, e2583. <http://doi.org/10.4236/Oalib.1102583>

23. Olabode, B. T. (2013). Block multistep method for Direct solution of Third order Ordinary Differential Equations. *FUTA Journal of Research in Sciences*, 2, 194–200.
24. Ramos, H., Jator, S. N., & Modebei, M. I. (2020). Efficient K-step Linear Block Methods to solve second order Initial Value Problems directly. *Mathematics*, 8(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/math8101752>
25. Singla, R., Singh, G., Kanwar, V., & Ramos, H. (2021). Efficient adaptive step-size formulation of an optimized two- step hybrid method for directly solving general second order Initial Value Problems. *Sociedade Brasileira de Matematica aplicada e computacional*.
26. Yahyah, Y. A., & Badmus, A. M. (2007). A 4. 3- step hybrid collocation method for special third order initial value problems of ODEs. *International Journal of Numerical Mathematics*, 3, 306–314.
27. Kumar, S., & Simran. (2024). Equity in K-12 STEAM education. *Eduphoria*, 02(03), 49–55. <https://doi.org/10.59231/eduphoria/230412>

Received on July 10, 2024

Accepted on Aug 03, 2024

Published on Oct 01, 2024

A-4 STEP CHEBYSHEV BASED MULTIDERIVATIVE DIRECT SOLVER FOR THIRD ORDER ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS © 2024 by O.M Ogunlaran and M.A Kehinde is licensed under CC BY-NC-ND 4.0